VZCZCXYZ0000 OO RUEHWEB

DE RUEHKT #1328 1451103 ZNY CCCCC ZZH O 251103Z MAY 06 FM AMEMBASSY KATHMANDU TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1584 INFO RUEHBJ/AMEMBASSY BEIJING PRIORITY 4391 RUEHBY/AMEMBASSY CANBERRA PRIORITY 0351 RUEHLM/AMEMBASSY COLOMBO PRIORITY 4647 RUEHKA/AMEMBASSY DHAKA PRIORITY 9751 RUEHIL/AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD PRIORITY 2631 RUEHLO/AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY 4044 RUEHNE/AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI PRIORITY 9776 RUEHNY/AMEMBASSY OSLO PRIORITY 0234 RUEHOT/AMEMBASSY OTTAWA PRIORITY 0181 RUEHTC/AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE PRIORITY 0293 RUEHKO/AMEMBASSY TOKYO PRIORITY 0907 RHEFDIA/DIA WASHDC PRIORITY RHHMUNA/CDR USPACOM HONOLULU HI PRIORITY RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY RUEAIIA/CIA WASHDC PRIORITY RHEHNSC/NSC WASHDC PRIORITY

C O N F I D E N T I A L KATHMANDU 001328

SIPDIS

SIPDIS

DEPT FOR SCA/INS, PRM

E.O. 12958: DECL: 05/25/2016

TAGS: PREF PGOV NP

SUBJECT: NEPAL RESUMES ISSUING TRAVEL DOCUMENTS TO REFUGEES

REF: KATHMANDU 1290

Classified By: Ambassador James F. Moriarty. Reasons 1.4 (b,d).

GON Resumes Issuance of Travel Documents

11. (C) K.P. Oli, Foreign Minister and Deputy Prime Minister, confirmed to the Ambassador on May 24 that the Government of Nepal (GON) had decided to resume issuing travel documents to Tibetan and Bhutanese refugees effective immediately. Oli commented that the previous government had halted issuance of travel documents in October 2005 because it had been worried that the refugees were advocating human rights and democracy, principles which that government did not support. Oli said the current government came to power because of the success of the democratic people's movement and so it had no reason to keep the refugees from traveling outside of Nepal. (Note: The government has yet to resume issuing exit permits to Tibetan refugees transiting to India. End note.)

FM Doubts Bhutanese Sincerity

12. (C) Oli stressed to the Ambassador his desire to find a solution to the Bhutanese refugees in Nepal. Oli said he planned to meet his Bhutanese counterpart at the Non-Aligned Movement Ministerial in Kuala Lumpur on May 30 and would briefly discuss the refugee issue. Recounting his experience dealing with the Bhutanese during previous rounds of discussions, Oli described the Bhutanese as disingenuous. He asserted that Bhutan took advantage of his predecessors, who "did not clearly understand refugee issues or Bhutan's designs and attitude." Oli did not respond to the Ambassador's suggestion that the GON should allow UNHCR verification of the refugees in the camps.

Acknowledges that Other Solution Are Possible

¶3. (C) Oli acknowledged that it was possible that there might

be different solutions but reiterated to the Ambassador the GON's stance as outlined to the diplomatic community (reftel) that Bhutan should recognize the refugees as Bhutanese citizens and allow its citizens to return. Oli stressed that it was important for the refugees themselves to agree to the solution. "We can't force the refugees out of Nepal as we can't behave like Bhutan in 1990," Oli commented. He noted that third-county resettlement might help some refugees, but he believed that was a "small, partial, and incomplete solution." He termed third-country resettlement "a little bit helpful," but stated, "it would be better to resolve the situation in its entirety." He concluded by saying Nepal would be flexible and willing to accept any solution that addressed the problem in its entirety.

Comment

14. (C) While Oli still is intent on pursuing the bilateral process, we will continue to encourage him to think of other solutions. Given his deep knowledge on this issue and past experience dealing with the Bhutanese, he might become more open to internationalizing the process, but he is not there yet.

MORIARTY